

MONTHLY MEETING AGENDA July 8, 2010

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952
9:00 a.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT		Responsible Party	<u>Status</u>	
1.	Public Comment An opportunity for public comment will be provided to address any public agenda item.	Chairman Pringle	I	
Agenda ACTION Items		Responsible Party	<u>Status</u>	
2.	Approval of Meeting Minutes	CEO Roelof van Ark	Α	
Co	Committee Reports			
3.	Audit Review Committee Report The Audit Review Committee will report to the Authority Board on the following matters from its June 21 meeting: - Bureau of State Audits 60-Day Follow-Up *(see the June 21 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail)	Chairman Pringle	А	
4.	Executive/Administrative Committee Report The Executive/Administrative Committee will report to the Authority Board on the following matters: - FRA and Transbay Joint Powers Authority MOU - FRA and HSRA MOU - Board Policies and Procedures *(see the July 7 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail)	Chairman Pringle	Α	
5.	Operations Committee Report Recommendations from the Operations Committee regarding: - Monthly Program Management Team report - Monthly Program Management Oversight report *(see the July 7 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail)	Board member Katz	Α	
6.	Finance Committee Report Recommendations from the Finance Committee regarding: - Financial consultant RFP	Board member Umberg	Α	

*(see the July 7 meeting agenda and materials for greater detail)

Other Action Items

EIR/EIS.

			
7.	Election of Officers The Board will elect from among itself a chairman and vice-chairman. This election takes place annually.	Chairman Pringle	Α
8.	Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Staff will recommend that a MOU with BNSF be signed to cover joint engineering activities; to include a provision about compensating BNSF for the required work.	CEO Roelof van Ark, Tony Daniels	Α
<u>Ph</u>	ase 1 Section Environmental Review Updates		
9.	Supplemental Alternatives Analysis Report – Los Angeles to Anaheim Staff will describe and make recommendations on the alignment, station, and maintenance facility alternatives in the Los Angeles – Anaheim section, and the Board will have an opportunity to provide direction on the alternatives to carry forward for further study. *(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at www.cahisqhspeedrail.ca.gov by the morning of July 8)	CEO Roelof van Ark, Tony Daniels	A
10	Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Preliminary Report – Palmdale to Los Angeles Staff will describe and make recommendations on the alignment, station, and maintenance facility alternatives in the Palmdale – Los Angeles section, timed with the release of the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Report for the section. *(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at www.cahisqhspeedrail.ca.gov by the morning of July 8)	Dan Leavitt	A
11	Initial Alternatives Analysis Report – Bakersfield to Palmdale Staff will describe the initial alternatives in the Bakersfield – Palmdale section, timed with the release of the Initial Alternatives Analysis Report for the section. *(the Alternatives Analysis report will be posted at www.cahisqhspeedrail.ca.gov by the morning of July 8)	Carrie Bowen	Α
12	Alternatives Analysis Preliminary Report – Fresno to Bakersfield Staff will clarify that the presentation and recommendations at the June 3, 2010, Board meeting concerning the alternatives in the Fresno – Bakersfield HST section, as presented in the Preliminary Alternatives Analysis Report, include alignments,	Carrie Bowen	Α

Agenda INFORMATION Items	Responsible Party	<u>Status</u>
13. Members' Reports	Chairman Pringle CFO Roelof van Ark	1
14. CEO's Report15. Gateway Cities Council of Governments	Jeffrey M. Barker	1
An opportunity will be provided for the Gateway Cities Council of Governments, an MOU partner with the Authority, to brief the Board on its involvement and interests in the high-speed train project.	Jenney W. Burker	·

station options, and heavy maintenance facility sites for study in the HST project

*(the Alternatives Analysis report was posted at www.cahighspeedrail.ca.gov June 3,2010.)

16. Berkeley ITS Ridership Model Critique

UC Berkeley's Institute of Transportation Studies (ITS) has been invited to present its critique of the high-speed rail ridership model and forecasts used by the Authority. This critique was requested by the state Senate Transportation Committee, which asked the Authority to contract with Berkeley ITS for the review. Authority staff and Cambridge Systematics will also discuss the critique.

Dan Leavitt

Legal Counsel

Ι

Closed Session(s) Responsible Party Status

17. Pertaining to Litigation

The Authority will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code sections 11126(e)(1) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation:

- (a) Town of Atherton et al. v. California High Speed Rail Authority, Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 34-2008-80000022,
- (b) Peterson et al. v. California High Speed Rail Authority, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2010-00069687, and
- (c) Brown et al. v. Peninsula Joint Powers Board and California High-Speed Rail Authority, Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2010-00075672.

Reasonable Accommodation for Any Individual with a Disability

Any individual with a disability who requires reasonable accommodation to attend or participate may request assistance by contacting the Authority at (916) 324-1541. Requests for additional accommodations for the disabled, signers, assistive listening devices, or translators should be made no later than one week prior to the meeting.

[&]quot;A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item - Items may be taken out of order